Carbon Footprint Expert Review Report

for

The Gardner Group

(incorporating Gardner & Co, Gardner FRD & JA Glover)

August 1st 2024 - July 31st 2025

Carbon Footprint Ltd, Belvedere House, Basing View, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG21 4HG, UK
+44 (0)1256 592 599 | info@carbonfootprint.com | www.carbonfootprint.com

Carbon Footprint Ltd, Belvedere House, Basing View, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG21 4HG, UK
+44 (0)1256 592 599 | info@carbonfootprint.com | www.carbonfootprint.com

Expert Review summary

Consultant:	Jamie Wardle, BA (Hons) Environmental Consultant
Report reviewed by:	Finlay Dyche-Brookes, BSc (Hons) Senior Environmental Consultant
Authorised by:	Dr Wendy Buckley Director
Inventory period reviewed:	1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025
Level of assurance:	Limited
Assurance being given to:	Mark Duguid, Gardner & Co Ltd
Verification Standard Followed:	ISO 14064-3: 2019
Methodology used for the calculation:	GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain Accounting and Reporting Standard

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Expert Review Statement

The Gardner Group (incorporating Gardner & Co, Gardner FRD & JA Glover)

11 March 2026

Scope

The Gardner Group engaged Carbon Footprint Ltd to conduct a verification following the ISO14064-3:2019 at a limited assurance level (As Carbon Footprint has provided the Sustrax software this is not a third-party verification, but strict independence criteria have been used, see section 1.6). The Expert Review covered auditing the carbon footprint assessment and supporting evidence for the period 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025. The Gardner Group are responsible for the activity data input into the Sustrax software. The responsibility of Carbon Footprint Ltd is to provide a conclusion as to whether the statements made are in accordance with the GHG Protocol.

Methodology

The Expert Review was led by Jamie Wardle, BA (Hons) Environmental Consultant. The Gardner Group used the Sustrax AX software to calculate its footprint. Carbon Footprint Ltd completed the review in accordance with the *'ISO 14064 Part 3 (2019): Greenhouse Gases: Specification with guidance for the verification and validation of greenhouse gas statements'*. The work provides a limited level of assurance with respect to the GHG statements made. Carbon Footprint Ltd believes that the review of the assessment and associated evidence, coupled with this subsequent report, provides a reasonable and fair basis for our conclusion.

The following data was within the scope of the Expert Review (below shows the post-audit results):

Scope	Emission Source	Location-based (tCO_2e)	Market-based (tCO_2e)
1	Fuel Use	123.28	123.28
Scope 1 Total		**123.28**	**123.28**
2	Consumption of purchased electricity, heat steam and cooling	37.84	11.33
Scope 2 Total		**37.84**	**11.33**
3	3.3 Fuel and energy related activities (not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2)	39.81	39.81
3	3.7 Employee commuting	22.04	22.04
Scope 3 Total		**61.85**	**61.85**
Total (Scope 1, 2 and 3)		**222.97**	**196.46**

Assurance opinion

Based on the results of our Expert Review process, Carbon Footprint Ltd provides limited assurance of the GHG emissions statement, **and found no evidence that the GHG emissions statement:**

- is not materially correct and is not a fair representation of the GHG emissions data and information;
- has not been prepared in accordance with the GHG Protocol.

It is our opinion that the Gardner Group has established appropriate systems for the collection of quantitative data for determination of GHG emissions, assessed using the Sustrax carbon reporting platform and for the stated period and boundaries.

Jamie Wardle, BA (Hons)
Environmental Consultant
Carbon Footprint Ltd

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Table of Contents

Expert Review summary 2
Statement of Expert Review 3
1 Introduction 5
1.1 Objectives 5
1.2 Expert Review Scope 5
1.3 Materiality 6
1.4 Responsibility 6
1.5 The work undertaken 6
1.6 Independence 6
1.7 Abbreviations 7
2 Expert Review results 8
2.1 Assessment of the GHG calculation and its controls 8
2.2 Data Management 10
2.3 Calculation checks 11
3 Benchmarking 13
3.1 Comparison to base year emissions 13
4 Conformance with Expert Review criteria 14
5 Recommendations 15
5.1 Carbon & sustainability targets 15
5.2 Reducing emissions 15
5.3 Carbon compensation 16
6 Conclusions 17
6.1 Assurance opinion 17
Appendix 1 – Expert Review Plan 18
Appendix 2 – Sampling Plan 20
Appendix 3 – Expert Review Team 21

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

1 Introduction

The Gardner Group serves as a leading specialist contractor within the UK construction industry, primarily focused on the design, manufacture, and installation of complex airside packages and ventilation systems. The company operates as a key component of the wider Gardner group, providing mechanical and electrical services for high-profile commercial developments. The group structure incorporates specialised subsidiaries including JA Glover, which manages manufacturing and distribution, and Gardner FRD (Fire-Resistant Ductwork), which focuses on the development of fire-resistant ductwork technologies.

This report provides the outcomes of the Expert Review of the Gardner Group's United Kingdom Greenhouse Gas (GHG) statement for the period 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025. This assessment provides a consolidated account of the group's total emissions, incorporating the operational activities of all core subsidiaries, including Gardner & Co, Gardner FRD and JA Glover. In this report, these entities are collectively referred to as the Gardner Group. The specific parameters and boundaries of this scope are further detailed in section 2.

The Expert Review was based on an assessment of the Gardner Group's 2025 carbon footprint, calculated using the carbon reporting platform Sustrax.

Sustrax AX is a cloud-based carbon calculation platform, aligned with the Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The calculations primarily use GHG conversion factors from the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (Defra), along with country-specific electricity factors where necessary.

This has been supplemented with a review of supporting evidence. An Expert Review plan (Appendix 1) was devised at the preliminary stages of the assessment to guide the Expert Review process. The sampling plan in Appendix 2 lists the documents requested for Expert Review.

The Expert Review was completed in line with the International Standard *'ISO 14064 Part 3 (2019): Greenhouse Gases: Specification with guidance for the verification and validation of greenhouse gas statements'* to a limited assurance level.

1.1 Objectives

The objectives are:

To provide assurance to the Gardner Group, to ISO 14064-3 standard, that the GHG statement is reliable and of sufficient quality.

1.2 Expert Review Scope

The GHG statement that is being verified is the Gardner Group's United Kingdom carbon footprint for the 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025.

The GHG emissions have been consolidated through the operational control approach and are reported in terms of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e).

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

1.3 Materiality

A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of any errors, limitations or misrepresentations has been undertaken. The team, using professional judgment, determined whether any qualitative discrepancies could affect the overall GHG statement and, in turn, have a material impact on the decisions of the intended user.

Quantitative discrepancies were calculated individually to understand the impact of them as a percentage of the GHG statement. The pre-defined materiality threshold is 5% of the total inventory.

1.4 Responsibility

The Gardner Group is responsible for the data input into Sustrax, and any supporting information. Carbon Footprint Ltd provides an Expert Review of the results, to a limited level of assurance. Appendix 3 provides a profile of the Expert Review team.

1.5 The work undertaken

The Expert Review was conducted following the ISO 14064-3 (2019): Greenhouse gases- part 3: '*Greenhouse Gases: Specification with guidance for the verification and validation of greenhouse gas statements*. An Expert Review plan (including sampling) was devised at the preliminary stages of the assessment to guide the Expert Review process (see appendices).

In conformance with the ISO 14064-3 standard (as a non-third-party verification), the following activities were undertaken:

- Initial review of the GHG documentation and methodologies, including historical GHG data for the period 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025.
- Remote audit, involving discussions with staff from the Gardner Group regarding:
  - Scope of calculation (including assessment boundary).
  - Input data sets, any missing data, estimations made and assumptions.
  - Quality control procedures.
  - Results & interpretation.

1.6 Independence

This Expert Review was performed by Carbon Footprint Ltd as a second-party verifier providing a limited level of assurance under ISO 14067-3:2019. Carbon Footprint Ltd also supplies the carbon calculator used for this assessment. To maintain impartiality, the software development team and the verification team operated independently, with clear separation of responsibilities and oversight to ensure an unbiased expert review.

The consultant is independent from development of the Sustrax platform. The consultant has maintained objectivity during the audit, basing conclusions on evidence obtained during the audit.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

1.7 Abbreviations

CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
Defra	Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
kWh	Kilowatt Hours
tCO_2e	Tonnes of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
gCO_2e/kWh	Grammes of Carbon Dioxide Emissions per Kilowatt Hour
FRD	Fire Resistant Ductwork

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

2 Expert Review results

2.1 Assessment of the GHG calculation and its controls

2.1.1 Boundary and data selection

Organisational boundary

The GHG emissions have been consolidated through the operational control approach and are reported in terms of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e), for the United Kingdom operations. All seven sites were within the scope of the assessment.

Table 1: Results of the Gardner Group's carbon footprint assessment by GHG Protocol emission categories

Scope	Emission Source	Location-based (tCO_2e)	Market-based (tCO_2e)
1	Fuel Use	123.28	123.28
Scope 1 Total		**123.28**	**123.28**
2	Consumption of purchased electricity, heat steam and cooling	37.84	11.33
Scope 2 Total		**37.84**	**11.33**
3	3.3 Fuel and energy related activities (not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2)	39.81	39.81
3	3.7 Employee commuting	22.04	22.04
Scope 3 Total		**61.85**	**61.85**
Total (Scope 1, 2 and 3)		**222.97**	**196.46**

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Reporting boundary

The assessment boundary is summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: The Gardner Group's GHG Assessment boundary based on the Greenhouse Gas Protocol Accounting and Reporting Corporate Standard

(All green rows have been included in this assessment; all grey rows are not applicable; orange rows have been excluded)

Scope	Footprint	Title	Requirement Level	Calculation Type	Completion Status	Justification
1	Direct	Electricity, heat or steam generated on-site	Required	Activity Data	Complete	
1	Direct	Fuel Use	Required	Activity Data	Complete	
1	Direct	Company owned vehicles	Required	Activity Data	Complete	
1	Direct	Fugitive emissions (incl. Refrigerant gases and AC)	Required	Activity Data	Complete	
2	Indirect	Consumption of purchased electricity, heat steam and cooling	Required	Activity Data	Complete	
3	Indirect	3.1 Purchased goods and services	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.2 Capital goods	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.3 Fuel and energy related activities (not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2)	Optional	Activity Data	Complete	
3	Indirect	3.4 Upstream transportation and distribution	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.5 Waste generated in operation	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.6 Business travel (not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2)	Optional	Activity Data	Complete	
3	Indirect	3.7 Employee commuting	Optional	Activity Data	Complete	
3	Indirect	3.8 Upstream leased assets	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.9 Downstream transportation and distribution	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.10 Processing of sold products	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.11 Use of sold products	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.12 End-of-life treatment of sold products	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.13 Downstream leased assets	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.14 Franchises	Optional			
3	Indirect	3.15 Investment	Optional			

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

2.2 Data Management

Data source and accuracy comments for the data inputs are in Table 3. The QEHS director collected all of the data before inputting into Sustrax, the online carbon tracking platform.

No particular issues were experienced when obtaining data for this assessment.

Table 3: Data Source, Accuracy and Checks

Data	Source of data	Verifier comments	Accuracy	Materiality	Uncertainty	Market-based Error Margin (tCO_2e)
Fuel	Internal fuel records kept including mileage and fuel consumption for each company vehicle.	Total fuel consumption in litres for all vehicles over the reporting period was entered into Sustrax.	Excellent	Very High (>40%)	1%	0.82
Natural Gas	Screenshots from the Gardner Group's British Gas online account, showing gas consumption for each site, were provided.	The evidence covers each month in the reporting period.	Excellent	High (20-40%)	1%	0.66
Electricity	Screenshots from the Gardner Group's British Gas online account, showing electricity consumption for all but one site were provided.	JA Glover Stratford's electricity consumption evidence was unavailable due to the site's closure. The available evidence covers each month in the reporting period.	Excellent	Medium (5-20%)	1%	0.26
Commuting	National rail commuting data provided based on the distance between employee commute start point and destination. Return journeys have been factored in and total employee commute distance was multiplied by the 47 working weeks in the year.	It has been assumed that all employees that commute, are doing so five days per week.	Very Good	Medium (5-20%)	5%	1.02

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Data	Source of data	Verifier comments	Accuracy	Materiality	Uncertainty	Market-based Error Margin (tCO_2e)
Home Workers	Number of employees working from home, number of days per week, and number of hours provided based on internal records.	Three employees worked from home between four and five days per week. A group average of 4.5 days per week was used for the calculation and applied across the 47-week working year.	Average	Very Low (<1%)	50%	0.85
Total					**2%**	**+/- 3.61**

2.3 Calculation checks

The calculations were carried using the Sustrax AX reporting platform, which uses the emission factors developed by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA). Spot checks were carried out on the Sustrax AX calculations.

Table 4: Data checks

Emission source	Issue	Comment/action by Company
Fuel	N/A	Total fuel consumption inputted into Sustrax was checked against the internal fuel records provided and deemed to be accurate.
Natural Gas	N/A	Natural gas consumption inputted into Sustrax was checked against the evidence provided and was deemed to be accurate.
On-site Consumption of purchased electricity, heat steam and cooling	Electricity consumption total was summed up and inputted incorrectly into Sustrax.	Electricity consumption total was summed up again using the raw data and corresponding evidence. The correct total was then inputted into Sustrax.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Emission source	Issue	Comment/action by Company
Employee Commuting	Total national rail commute distance was inputted incorrectly into Sustrax.	Based on the raw train travel data and the supporting evidence, the correct total train commuting distance was obtained and inputted into Sustrax.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

3 Benchmarking

3.1 Comparison to base year emissions

This is the Gardner Group's first year assessed using Sustrax AX, therefore, this year's results will set the base year for all future reports to be compared against.

3.2 External Publication and Benchmarking of Your Carbon Footprint

We strongly encourage you now to **publish your carbon footprint results on Carbon Data Intelligence (CaDI)** – our new global platform. Follow this link to grant us permission to publish your results automatically.

https://carbondi.com/

External publication demonstrates your commitment to carbon management and to responsible transparency. Your results will also be endorsed on CaDI as 'Verified' for additional peace of mind for you and viewers of the data.

Using CaDI, you can also search other organisations that have reported their emissions to benchmark your performance.

4 Conformance with Expert Review criteria

The chosen methodology that has been used for accounting and reporting the Gardner Group's GHG inventory is the GHG Protocol standard. Carbon Footprint Ltd has examined the Gardner Group's GHG statement in relation to the ISO14064-3 standard. The Expert Review activities have shown that the Gardner Group has met the Expert Review criteria satisfactorily.

Relevance – the data collected and reported reflects the significant environmental impacts of the Gardner Group's operations.

Completeness – emission sources that come within the reporting boundary have been quantified and reported where possible. Exclusions (if applicable) have been disclosed and justified.

Consistency – methodologies are documented and appear to be consistent.

Transparency – the carbon footprint report states the company's approach to data collection and the estimations that were made.

Accuracy – sufficient accuracy has been achieved. Actions to improve data accuracy and reduce uncertainty have been identified.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026
Commercial in Confidence

5 Recommendations

5.1 Carbon & sustainability targets

5.1.1 Improving the accuracy of future carbon footprint assessments

To improve the accuracy of future assessments, we recommend the following:

5.1.2 Expand the Scope of the Assessment

We recommend that the scope of the assessment is expanded in future to include the aspects that are identified as excluded in Table 1.

The most material element would likely be purchased goods and services, so we recommend you focus on capturing data for this for the next assessment period.

5.1.3 Target setting for net zero

Company should set targets based on per employee and/or per £M turnover, which will account for business growth. Many organisations are now setting targets based on typical mid-term and longer terms goals to reach net zero (ISO's International Workshop Agreement on Net Zero Guidance - IWA 42:2022[1]):

- A 50% reduction in emissions per £M turnover/employee by 2030.
- A 90% reduction in emissions per £M turnover/employee by 2045.

All targets set should be reviewed regularly and amended accordingly (i.e. target increased if it is met ahead of schedule). A clear roadmap for individual emissions sources should be in place. This will ensure the strategy for reducing CO_2e emissions and tracking toward a net zero target is appropriate for the business.

A hyperlink to Carbon Footprint Ltd's whitepaper on target setting can be found below:
https://www.carbonfootprint.com/docs/2021_12_cfp_practical_target_setting_-_white_paper_v10.pdf

5.2 Reducing emissions

To reduce GHG emissions, we recommend the following:

- Switch to renewable energy tariffs to reduce emissions associated with electricity use.
- Investigate swapping owned sites from gas-powered heating to sustainable alternatives such as electric, hydrogen, solar thermal, and air-source heat pumps.
- Transition company fleet to electric vehicles (EV)

[1] ISO - Net Zero Guidelines

- Compensate for emissions created within this data period by purchasing carbon credits
- Publish your results on Carbon Data Intelligence (CaDI)
- Set Net Zero targets and an associated implementation plan

5.3 Carbon compensation

Carbon compensation provides a practical solution for addressing emissions that cannot be reduced by supporting projects that achieve an equivalent reduction in carbon dioxide elsewhere.

Global net-zero 2050 targets cannot be met solely through current reduction commitments. This is why the Voluntary Carbon Market exists and the reason why your support of carbon compensation projects is vital to bridge the gap.

Projects are categorised as either 'reductions' or 'removals':

- **Reductions**: These projects aim to reduce emissions by preventing them from occurring in the first place. Examples include renewable energy projects and energy efficiency improvements.
- **Removals**: These projects focus on removing existing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Examples include afforestation, reforestation, and carbon capture and storage.

In addition, many projects place a strong emphasis on both social and environmental benefits (satisfying UN Sustainable Development Goals). It's essential to note that global net-zero targets cannot be met solely through emission reductions. Support from the voluntary carbon market through carbon compensation projects plays a crucial role in reaching these targets.

All Carbon Footprint's projects score highly across the key criteria of additionality, permanence, measurability, and leakage. Increasing numbers of projects are also gaining ICVCM CCP status, reflecting their high integrity. You can view and compare the ratings of ca 2000 projects on CRISP – [CRISP – Carbon Ratings InSight Platform]. You can purchase your required carbon credits by selecting from a range of high-quality verified projects on the [Carbon Marketplace (COMP)]



6 Conclusions

The Gardner Group's boundaries and system has satisfactorily captured the most significant and relevant emission sources.

A number of errors were identified during the audit, however all major errors and the majority of minor errors were investigated and corrected during the course of the audit.

The accuracy and quality of the commuting data could be improved. This could be achieved by implementing a commuting survey for employees to complete.

Overall, the calculations were correct, and the estimation methodologies were acceptable.

6.1 Assurance opinion

Based on the results of our Expert Review process, Carbon Footprint Ltd provides limited assurance of the GHG emissions statement, **and found no evidence that the GHG emissions statement:**

- is not materially correct and is not a fair representation of the GHG emissions data and information;
- has not been prepared in accordance with the GHG Protocol, ISO 14064-3 standard.

It is Carbon Footprint Ltd's opinion that the Gardner Group has established appropriate systems for the collection, aggregation and analysis of quantitative data for determination of GHG emissions for the stated period and boundaries.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Appendix 1 – Expert Review Plan

Venue: Online

Present:
Mark Duguid, Gardner & Co Ltd

ISO 14064-3 Ref.		ISO 14064-3 Requirements	Evidence	Comments
5.1.3.	Level of Assurance	To be agreed at the beginning	Anecdotal	Limited
5.1.4	Objectives	To be agreed at the beginning	Anecdotal	
5.1.5	Criteria	To be agreed at the beginning	Anecdotal	GHG Protocol, ISO 14064-3 standard
5.1.6	Scope	Organisational boundaries, physical infrastructure & activities, GHG sources, type of GHGs, time period	Anecdotal Carbon Footprint Report	Scope 1, 2 & 3 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025 Operational control
5.1.7	Materiality	Establish materiality		Materiality threshold 5%
5.4.4	Expert Review records	The consultant shall maintain records to demonstrate conformity to the requirements of ISO 14064-3.	Expert Review plan. Expert Review report.	This Expert Review plan is the basis of recording the audit and capturing information.
6.1.3.3	GHG information system & its controls	Processes for collecting, processing and reporting GHG information.	Anecdotal	
6.1.3.4	GHG data & information	Examination of the GHG data and information.	Sustrax AX data exports and platform information.	
6.1.5	Expert Review Plan	Document assurance level, objectives, criteria, scope, materiality & schedule.	This document	This table documents the Expert Review plan.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

ISO 14064-3 Ref.		ISO 14064-3 Requirements	Evidence	Comments
6.1.6	Evidence gathering plan		Sampling Plan	See Appendix 2.
6.3.1	Evaluation of the GHG statement	Evaluate whether the evidence collected supports the GHG statement.	Expert Review report	Sufficient evidence was provided to support the statement.
6.3.1.4	Assessment against Expert Review criteria	Confirm whether the organisation conforms to the Expert Review criteria.	Expert Review report	Organisation has met the Expert Review criteria satisfactorily.
6.3.2 & 6.3.3	Conclusion and opinion	A Expert Review statement containing the level of assurance, objectives, scope, criteria, the GHG statement and the consultants' opinion on the GHG statement.	Expert Review statement	An Expert Review statement will be issued.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026

Appendix 2 – Sampling Plan

The sampling will be a risk-based approach in order to collect adequate evidence to support the Limited level of assurance. Calculations and results will be reviewed and discussed as a desk-based exercise and during the remote audit.

Sites and data sampled were chosen due to materiality to the total carbon footprint, and potential anomalies identified from initial analysis.

Primary data (e.g. utility bills, expense claims, fuel card reports etc.) requested is shown in the following table:

Emissions source	Requested	Provided
Electricity	Bills from all seven sites to check kWh figures and electricity tariffs	Evidence of kWh consumption for the entire period for all sites. Also provided was one electricity bill showing the supplier specific emission factor in gCO_2e/kWh.
Fuel	Fuel records for all company vehicles	Fuel consumption in litres for each vehicle during the reporting period.
Natural Gas	Gas Bills for all seven sites to check kWh consumption	British Gas online account screenshots provided. Evidence of kWh consumption shown for all sites.
Commuting	Employee commuting survey or other evidence demonstrating employee commuting habits	National rail commute data provided for each relevant employee.

Secondary data was reviewed for other sites and emission sources.

Appendix 3 – Expert Review Team

Carbon Footprint Ltd has enabled the completion of the carbon footprints of over 20,000 businesses globally via our tools and consultancy. We are confident that we bring independent, ethical conduct, fair representation, due professional care and fresh insights to carbon management and Expert Review activities.

We work with a vast range of companies, from SMEs to multinational blue-chip corporations with goals to comply with legislation, cut the cost of carbon in their business, maximise sales by developing true sustainable credentials and prepare for future legislation.

We are a world leading carbon footprinting company:

- We follow international standards, such as ISO 14064-1, PAS 2050, GHG Protocol, ISO 14064-3 within our work
- We are ISO 14001:2015 and ISO 9001:2015 certified
- We are approved under the Quality Assurance Standard (QAS) – which includes an independent check of our online carbon calculators.
- We work with other businesses to complete/validate GHG emissions for their Mandatory GHG Reporting and CDP reporting requirements
- We run the Carbon Academy (for peer group learning)
- We provide input and advice to the government on low carbon legislation

Jamie Wardle, BA (Hons)
Environmental Consultant
Jamie is an Environmental Consultant at Carbon Footprint Ltd, specialising in Greenhouse Gas assessments of organisations.

Finlay Dyche-Brookes, BSc (Hons)
Senior Environmental Consultant
Finlay is a senior environmental consultant at Carbon Footprint Ltd, specialising in Greenhouse Gas assessments of organisations.

Dr Wendy Buckley
Client Director / Co-Founder Carbon Footprint Ltd
Wendy has a B.Sc. & Ph.D. in Physics and is also a Member of the Chartered Institute of Marketing with MCIM status. She has held various appointments across the globe in both the public and private sector. She has developed extensive knowledge in manufacturing, thermodynamic processes and low energy solutions. Wendy has won a number of business awards and is Chair Person of the Sustainable Business Network in North Hampshire.

© Carbon Footprint Ltd 2026